

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****United States Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/117,810	05/12/99	SCHUTZ	G 012627-007

021839 HM22/1101
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P
POST OFFICE BOX 1404
ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER
LU, F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1655	15

DATE MAILED: 11/01/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action	Application No. 09/117,810	Applicant(s) Schutz et al.,
	Examiner Frank Lu	Art Unit 1655
		
<i>-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --</i>		
<p>THE REPLY FILED <u>Oct 24, 2001</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.</p>		
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]		
<p>a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</p>		
<p>b) <input type="checkbox"/> In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</p>		
<p>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</p>		
<p>1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>Oct 24, 2001</u>. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</p>		
<p>2. <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.</p>		
<p>3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:</p>		
<p>(a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);</p>		
<p>(b) <input type="checkbox"/> they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);</p>		
<p>(c) <input type="checkbox"/> they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</p>		
<p>(d) <input type="checkbox"/> they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</p>		
<p>NOTE: <u>see attached action</u></p>		
<p>4. <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <hr/> <hr/> </p>		
<p>5. <input type="checkbox"/> Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).</p>		
<p>6. <input type="checkbox"/> The a) <input type="checkbox"/> affidavit, b) <input type="checkbox"/> exhibit, or c) <input type="checkbox"/> request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <hr/> </p>		
<p>7. <input type="checkbox"/> The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</p>		
<p>8. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: _____ Claim(s) objected to: _____ Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-9</u> <hr/> </p>		
<p>9. <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ a) <input type="checkbox"/> has b) <input type="checkbox"/> has not been approved by the Examiner.</p>		
<p>10. <input type="checkbox"/> Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.</p>		
<p>11. <input type="checkbox"/> Other:</p>		

Art Unit: 1655

ADVISORY ACTION

1. The purposed amendments filed on October 24, 2001 have been fully considered but will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. For example, the phrase "wherein if CREM is not expressed or expressed only to a reduced extent and not expressed in phosphorylated form, respectively, so that CREM-dependent proteins are not expressed either or expressed only to a reduced extent, there will be unbalanced spermatogenesis resulting in non-functioning spermia", the phrase " using primers specific for CREM and/or CREM-dependent proteins together with the necessary standards and detection reagents", the phrase " conducting a western blot analysis" and the phrase " conducting a northern blot analysis" in claim 5 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-9 have been considered but are moot because the purposed amendments will not be entered since they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see above).

2. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Art Unit: 1655

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-1270. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Frank Lu
October 25, 2001



ETHAN C. WHISENANT
PRIMARY EXAMINER